# OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

After reviewing data collected from **PEMIGEWASSET LAKE**, **MEREDITH**, the program coordinators have made the following observations and recommendations.

We thank your group for sampling your pond **once** this summer. However, we encourage your monitoring group to sample **additional** times each summer. Typically, we recommend that monitoring groups sample **three times** per summer (once in **June**, **July**, and **August**). We understand that the number of sampling events you decide to conduct per summer will depend upon volunteer availability, and your monitoring group's goals and funding availability. However, with a limited amount of data it is difficult to determine accurate and representative water quality trends. Since weather patterns and activity in the watershed can change throughout the summer, from year to year, and even from hour to hour during a rain event, it is a good idea to sample the pond at least once per month during the summer.

If you are having difficulty finding volunteers to help sample or to travel to one of the laboratories, please call the VLAP Coordinator and DES will help you work out an arrangement.

During the annual biologist visit in September, the DES biologist saw a milfoil-like plant growing in the lake and brought a sample back to the DES Exotic Species Coordinator, Amy Smagula, for identification. The DNA of the specimen was analyzed, and it was determined that the species was the exotic *Myriophyllum heterophyllum* (variable milfoil). DES will conduct a full plant survey of the lake as early as possible during the 2007 growing season. Once the extent of the infestation is mapped, DES will develop an appropriate management plan to control the infestation.

We strongly encourage your monitoring group to formally participate in the DES Weed Watchers program, a volunteer program dedicated to monitoring lakes and ponds for the presence of exotic aquatic plants. This type of monitoring will be especially important for your lake so that the growth of variable milfoil can be closely monitored and hopefully the further spread of this exotic plant will be avoided. This program only involves a small amount of time during the summer months. Volunteers

survey their waterbody once a month from **June** through **September**. To survey, volunteers slowly boat, or even snorkel, around the perimeter of the waterbody and any islands it may contain. Using the materials provided in the Weed Watchers kit, volunteers look for any species that are of suspicion. After a trip or two around the waterbody, volunteers will have a good knowledge of its plant community and will immediately notice even the most subtle changes. If a suspicious plant is found, the volunteers will send a specimen to DES for identification. If the plant specimen is an exotic species, a biologist will visit the site to determine the extent of the problem and to formulate a management plan to control the nuisance infestation. Remember that early detection is the key to controlling the spread of exotic plants.

If you would like to help protect your lake or pond from exotic plant infestations, contact Amy Smagula, Exotic Species Program Coordinator, at 271-2248 or visit the Weed Watchers website at www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/exoticspecies/survey.htm.

#### FIGURE INTERPRETATION

Figure 1 and Table 1: Figure 1 in Appendix A shows the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column. Table 1 in Appendix B lists the maximum, minimum, and mean concentration for each sampling season that the lake has been monitored through VLAP.

Chlorophyll-a, a pigment found in plants, is an indicator of the algal abundance. Because algae are usually microscopic plants that contain chlorophyll-a, and are naturally found in lake ecosystems, the chlorophyll-a concentration measured in the water gives an estimation of the algal concentration or lake productivity. **The median summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 4.58 mg/m³.** 

The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration on the September sampling event was *less than* the state median and the similar lake median. For more information on the similar lake median, refer to Appendix F.

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line (the bottom graph) shows a *variable* in-lake chlorophyll-a trend since monitoring originally began. Specifically the mean chlorophyll concentration has *fluctuated between approximately 2.39 and 3.85 mg/m³* since **1989.** However, please keep in mind that this trend is based on limited data because the pond had only been monitored for three summers (1989, 2005, and 2006).

As your group expands its sampling program to include additional events each year, we will be able to determine trends with more accuracy and confidence. After 10 consecutive years of sample collection, we will be able to conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean chlorophyll-a concentration since monitoring began.

While algae are naturally present in all lakes, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. In freshwater lakes, phosphorus is the nutrient that algae typically depend upon for growth in New Hampshire lakes. Algal concentrations may increase as nonpoint sources of phosphorus from the watershed increase, or as in-lake phosphorus sources increase. Therefore, it is extremely important for volunteer monitors to continually educate all watershed residents about management practices that can be implemented to minimize phosphorus loading to surface waters.

Figures 2a and 2b and Tables 3a and 3b: Figure 2a in Appendix A shows the historical and current year data for transparency without the use of a viewscope and Figure 2b shows the current year data for transparency with the use of a viewscope. Table 3a in Appendix B lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data without the use of a viewscope and Table 3b lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data with the use of a viewscope for each sampling season that the lake has been monitored through VLAP.

Volunteer monitors use the Secchi disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure how far a person can see into the water. Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment in the water, as well as the natural color of the water. **The median summer transparency for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 3.2 meters.** 

The current year data (the top graph) show that the non-viewscope inlake transparency on the September sampling event was **slightly greater than** the state median and the similar lake median. Please refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median.

The current year data (the top graph) show that the viewscope in-lake transparency on the September sampling event was **slightly greater than** the transparency measured without the viewscope. As discussed previously, a comparison of the transparency readings taken with and without the use of a viewscope shows that the viewscope typically increases the depth to which the Secchi disk can be seen into the lake, particularly on sunny and windy days. We

recommend that your group measure Secchi disk transparency with and without the viewscope on each sampling event.

It is important to note that viewscope transparency data is not compared to a New Hampshire median or similar lake median. This is because lake transparency has not been historically measured by DES with a viewscope. At some point in the future, the New Hampshire and similar lake medians for viewscope transparency will be calculated and added to the appropriate graphs.

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line (the bottom graph) shows a *stable* trend for in-lake non-viewscope transparency since monitoring originally began in **1989**.

Again, please keep in mind that this trend is based on only *three* years of data. As previously discussed, after 10 consecutive years of sample collection, we will be able to conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean transparency since monitoring began.

Since the viewscope has only been used for one year to measure the transparency of the lake, it is not possible to determine historical trends for viewscope transparency. We recommend that your group continue to measure the transparency with and without the use of the viewscope on each sampling event. Ultimately, we would like all monitoring groups to use a viewscope to take Secchi disk readings as the use of the viewscope results in less variability in transparency readings between monitors and sampling events. At some point in the future, when we have sufficient data to determine a statistical relationship between transparency readings collected with and without the use of a viewscope, it may only be necessary to collect transparency readings with the use of a viewscope.

Figure 3 and Table 8: The graphs in Figure 3 in Appendix A show the amount of epilimnetic (upper layer) phosphorus and hypolimnetic (lower layer) phosphorus; the inset graphs show current year data. Table 8 in Appendix B lists the annual maximum, minimum, and median concentration for each deep spot layer and each tributary since the lake has been sampled through VLAP.

Phosphorus is typically the limiting nutrient for plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds. Excessive phosphorus in a lake can lead to increased plant and algal growth over time. The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is

# 12 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration on the **September** sampling event was *less than* the state median and the similar lake median. Refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median.

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration on the **September** sampling event was *greater than* the state median and *approximately equal to* the similar lake median.

The turbidity of the hypolimnion (lower layer) sample was *elevated* (3.71 NTUs) on the **September** sampling event. This suggests that the lake bottom may have been disturbed by the anchor or by the Kemmerer Bottle while sampling and/or that the lake bottom is covered by a thick organic layer of sediment which is easily disturbed. When the lake bottom is disturbed, sediment, which typically contains attached phosphorus, is released into the water column. When collecting the hypolimnion sample, make sure that there is no sediment in the Kemmerer Bottle before filling the sample bottles.

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line for the epilimnion and hypolimnion show a *variable* phosphorus trend. Specifically, the epilimnetic phosphorus concentration has *fluctuated between approximately 6 and 19 ug/L* and the hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration has *fluctuated between approximately 7 and 16 ug/L* since monitoring originally began in 1989.

As discussed previously, after 10 consecutive years of sample collection, we will be able to conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean phosphorus concentration since monitoring began.

One of the most important approaches to reducing phosphorus loading to a waterbody is to continually educate watershed residents about the sources of phosphorus in a watershed and how excessive phosphorus loading can negatively affect the ecology and the recreational, economical, and ecological value of lakes and ponds.

### TABLE INTERPRETATION

# > Table 2: Phytoplankton

Table 2 in Appendix B lists the current and historical phytoplankton species observed in the lake. Specifically, this table lists the three most dominant phytoplankton species observed in the sample and their relative abundance in the sample.

The dominant phytoplankton species observed in the **September** sample were **Anabaena** (cyanobacteria), **Chrysosphaerella** (goldenbrown), and **Ceratium** (Dinoflagellate).

Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing season. Please refer to the "Biological Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding seasonal plankton succession. Diatoms and golden-brown algae are typical in New Hampshire's less productive lakes and ponds.

## > Table 2: Cyanobacteria

The cyanobacterium **Anabaena** was the most dominant species observed in the **September** plankton sample in 2006. **This species, if present in large amounts, can be toxic to livestock, wildlife, pets, and humans.** Please refer to the "Biological Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding cyanobacteria.

Cyanobacteria can reach nuisance levels when phosphorus loading from the watershed to surface waters is increased and favorable environmental conditions occur, such as a period of sunny, warm weather.

The presence of cyanobacteria serves as a reminder of the lake's delicate balance. Watershed residents should continue to act proactively to reduce nutrient loading to the lake by eliminating fertilizer use on lawns, keeping the lake shoreline natural, revegetating cleared areas within the watershed, and properly maintaining septic systems and roads.

In addition, residents should also observe the lake in September and October during the time of fall turnover (lake mixing) to document any algal blooms that may occur. Cyanobacteria have the ability to regulate their depth in the water column by producing or releasing gas from vesicles. However, occasionally lake mixing can affect their buoyancy and cause them to rise to the surface and bloom. Wind and currents tend to "pile" cyanobacteria into scums that accumulate in one section of the lake. If a fall bloom occurs, please collect a sample

in any clean jar or bottle and contact the VLAP Coordinator.

### > Table 4: pH

Table 4 in Appendix B presents the in-lake and tributary current year and historical pH data.

pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 6.0 typically limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.0 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The median pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **6.6**, which indicates that the surface waters in the state are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The pH at the deep spot on the **September** sampling event ranged from **5.53** in the hypolimnion to **5.90** in the epilimnion, which means that the water is **slightly acidic**.

It is important to point out that the pH in the hypolimnion (lower layer) was *lower (more acidic)* than in the epilimnion (upper layer). This increase in acidity near the lake bottom is likely due to the decomposition of organic matter and the release of acidic by-products into the water column.

Due to the presence of granite bedrock in the state and acid deposition received from snowmelt, rainfall, and atmospheric particulates, there is not much that can be feasibly done to effectively increase lake pH.

### > Table 5: Acid Neutralizing Capacity

Table 5 in Appendix B presents the current year and historical epilimnetic ANC for each year the lake has been monitored through VLAP.

Buffering capacity (ANC) describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input. The median ANC value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **4.9 mg/L**, which indicates that many lakes and ponds in the state are at least "moderately vulnerable" to acidic inputs. For a more detailed explanation about ANC, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) of the epilimnion (upper layer) on the **September** sampling event was **1.6 mg/L** this season, which is

**much less than** the state median. In addition, this indicates that the lake is **extremely vulnerable** to acidic inputs.

### > Table 6: Conductivity

Table 6 in Appendix B presents the current and historical conductivity values for tributaries and in-lake data. Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current, which is determined by the number of negatively charged ions from metals, salts, and minerals in the water column. The median conductivity value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **40.0 uMhos/cm**. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The mean annual epilimnetic conductivity in the epilimnion at the deep spot this season was **43.57 uMhos/cm**, which is **slightly greater than** the state median.

The **2006** conductivity results for the deep spot and tributaries were *lower than* what was measured during **September 2005**. It is possible that the high water levels during 2006 diluted the conductivity concentration in surface waters throughout the watershed.

The conductivity is the pond is generally greater than the state median. Typically, elevated conductivity indicates the influence of pollutant sources associated with human activities. These sources include failed or marginally functioning septic systems, agricultural runoff, and road runoff, which contains road salt during the spring snow-melt. New development in the watershed can alter runoff patterns and expose new soil and bedrock areas, which could also contribute to increasing conductivity. In addition, natural sources, such as iron and manganese deposits in bedrock, can influence conductivity.

We recommend that your monitoring group conduct a shoreline conductivity survey of the lake to help pinpoint the sources of *elevated* conductivity.

To learn how to conduct a shoreline or tributary conductivity survey, please refer to the 2004 special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at www.des.nh.gov/wmb/vlap/2004/documents/Appendix\_D.pdf or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

# > Table 7a and Table 7b: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Nitrite+Nitrate Nitrogen

Table 7a in Appendix B presents the current year and historical Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Table 7b presents the current year and historical nitrite and nitrate nitrogen. Nitrogen is another nutrient that is essential for the growth of plants and algae. Nitrogen is typically the limiting nutrient in estuaries and coastal ecosystems. However, in freshwater, nitrogen is not typically the limiting nutrient. Therefore, nitrogen is not typically sampled through VLAP. However, if phosphorus concentrations in freshwater are elevated, then nitrogen loading may stimulate additional plant and algal growth. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The lake is likely **phosphorus-limited**. Therefore, it is not critical to conduct nitrogen sampling.

### > Table 8: Total Phosphorus

Table 8 in Appendix B presents the current year and historical total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations. Phosphorus is the nutrient that limits the algae's ability to grow and reproduce. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The inlet tributaries were not sampled this season. It would be best to sample the tributaries in the spring soon after snow-melt or during a rainstorm to determine the quality of water that flows into the lake.

Table 9 and Table 10: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Data
Table 9 in Appendix B shows the dissolved oxygen/temperature
profile(s) collected during 2006. Table 10 in Appendix B shows the
historical and current year dissolved oxygen concentration in the
hypolimnion (lower layer). The presence of dissolved oxygen is vital to
fish and amphibians in the water column and also to bottom-dwelling
organisms. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters"
section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The dissolved oxygen concentration was *much lower in the hypolimnion (lower layer) than in the epilimnion (upper layer)* at the deep spot on the **September** sampling event. As stratified lakes age, and as the summer progresses, oxygen typically becomes *depleted* in the hypolimnion by the process of decomposition. Specifically, the reduction of hypolimnetic oxygen is primarily a result of biological organisms using oxygen to break down organic matter, both in the water column and particularly at the bottom of the lake where the water meets the sediment. When hypolimnetic oxygen

concentration is depleted to less than 1 mg/L, **as it was on the September sampling event,** the phosphorus that is normally bound up in the sediment may be re-released into the water column, a process referred to as *internal phosphorus loading*. Since an internal source of phosphorus in the lake may be present, it is even more important that watershed residents act proactively to minimize phosphorus loading from the watershed.

# > Table 11: Turbidity

Table 11 in Appendix B lists the current year and historical data for in-lake and tributary turbidity. Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to the "Other Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

As discussed previously, the turbidity of the hypolimnion (lower layer) sample was *elevated* (3.71 NTUs) on the September sampling event. This suggests that the lake bottom may have been disturbed by the anchor or by the Kemmerer Bottle while sampling and/or that the lake bottom is covered by a thick organic layer of sediment that is easily disturbed. When the lake/pond bottom is disturbed, sediment, which typically contains attached phosphorus, is released into the water column. When collecting the hypolimnion sample, make sure that there is no sediment in the Kemmerer Bottle before filling the sample bottles.

### > Table 12: Bacteria (E.coli)

Table 12 in Appendix B lists the current year and historical data for bacteria (E.coli) testing. E. coli is a normal bacterium found in the large intestine of humans and other warm-blooded animals. E.coli is used as an indicator organism because it is easily cultured and its presence in the water, in defined amounts, indicates that sewage **may** be present. If sewage is present in the water, potentially harmful disease-causing organisms **may** also be present.

The *E.coli* concentration was *low* at the **Smoke Rise Cove** sampling location on the **September** sampling event. Specifically, the result of **10 counts or less** is *much less than* the state standard of 406 counts per 100 mL for recreational surface waters that are not designated public beaches and 88 counts per 100 mL for surface waters that are designated public beaches.

If residents are concerned about sources of bacteria, such as failing septic systems, animal waste, or waterfowl waste, it is best to conduct

*E. coli* testing when the water table is high, when beach use is heavy, or immediately after rain events.

#### Table 13: Chloride

Table 13 in Appendix B lists the current year and the historical data for chloride sampling. The chloride ion (Cl-) is found naturally in some surfacewaters and groundwaters and in high concentrations in seawater. Research has shown that elevated chloride levels can be toxic to freshwater aquatic life. In order to protect freshwater aquatic life in New Hampshire, the state has adopted **acute and chronic** chloride criteria of **860 and 230 mg/L** respectively. The chloride content in New Hampshire lakes is naturally low, generally less than 2 mg/L in surface waters located in remote areas away from habitation. Higher values are generally associated with salted highways and, to a lesser extent, with septic inputs. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

Chloride sampling was **not** conducted during **2006**.

Table 14: Current Year Biological and Chemical Raw Data
Table 14 in Appendix B lists the most current sampling season
results. Since the maximum, minimum, and annual mean values for
each parameter are not shown on this table, this table displays the
current year "raw," meaning unprocessed, data. The results are
sorted by station, depth, and then parameter.

### > Table 15: Station Table

As of the spring of 2004, all historical and current year VLAP data are included in the DES Environmental Monitoring Database (EMD). To facilitate the transfer of VLAP data into the EMD, a new station identification system had to be developed. While volunteer monitoring groups can still use the sampling station names that they have used in the past and are most familiar with, an EMD station name also exists for each VLAP sampling location. Table 15 in Appendix B identifies what EMD station name corresponds to the station names you have used in the past and will continue to use in the future.

### **DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL**

### **Annual Assessment Audit:**

During the annual visit to your lake, the biologist retrained you on how to collect water quality samples according to DES-approved procedures. We recommend that you schedule the 2007 annual biologist visit for June so that you can refresh your sampling skills and will be able to sample on your own additional times during the summer.

### **USEFUL RESOURCES**

Best Management Practices to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Guide for Citizens and Town Officials, DES Booklet WD-03-42, (603) 271-2975.

Canada Geese Facts and Management Options, DES fact sheet BB-53, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-53.htm.

Cyanobacteria in New Hampshire Waters Potential Dangers of Blue-Green Algae Blooms, DES fact sheet WMB-10, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-10.htm.

Lake Protection Tips: Some Do's and Don'ts for Maintaining Healthy Lakes, DES fact sheet WD-BB-9, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-9.htm.

Proper Lawn Care In the Protected Shoreland, The Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, DES fact sheet WD-SP-2, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/sp/sp-2.htm.

Road Salt and Water Quality, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/wmb/wmb-4.htm.

Sand Dumping - Beach Construction, DES fact sheet WD-BB-15, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-15.htm.

Through the Looking Glass: A Field Guide to Aquatic Plants, North American Lake Management Society, 1988, (608) 233-2836 or www.nalms.org.

Weed Watchers: An Association to Halt the Spread of Exotic Aquatic Plants, DES fact sheet WD-BB-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/bb/bb-4.htm.